

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,989	12/13/2001	John C. Pederson	N47.2-10338	6780
490	7590 02/12/2004		EXAM	INER
VIDAS, A	RRETT & STEINKR	HUSAR, STEPHEN F		
6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			2875	

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/016,989	PEDERSON, JOHN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Stephen F. Husar	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 33-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-37 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 38-41 and 43-64 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination ☐ The oath or declaration ☐ The oath or declaration ☐ The oath Open ☐ The o	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:	v (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/016,989

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no explanation in the "Detailed Description of the Invention" of the preferred embodiment shown in newly added Fig.10A.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "elements 60,12,30,16,18" as shown in new added Fig.10A. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,989 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 33-37 and 42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,424,269 in view of LAMPARTER (5,634,287). Claims 1-5 and 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,424,269 recite the invention substantially as claimed except for arranging the light emitting diodes in a single row. LAMPARTER shows in Fig.4 that it is well known to arrange a plurality of light emitting diodes in a single row on both sides of a multiple warning light such as U.S. Patent No. 6,424,269 for the purpose of providing multiple visually distinct warning signals simultaneously, see col.4, lines 43-63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrange the light emitting diodes recited in claims 1-5 and 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,424,269 in a single row as taught by LAMPARTER for the purpose of illuminating indicia and providing a flashing warning signal to provide multiple warning signals simultaneously.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's amendments and remarks overcome the 35USC112 rejection. Although applicant's terminal disclaimer overcome the double patenting obviousness rejection based on U.S. Pat. No. 6,472,996, the terminal disclaimer does not overcome the other double patenting obviousness rejection based on U.S. Pat No. 6,424,269. A timely filed terminal disclaimer as noted above would obviate this remaining rejection.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Husar whose telephone number is 571-272-2371. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30-4:00 at the Alexandria USPTO.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,989

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen F. Husar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875 Page 5

SFH